

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. MICHAEL BEHAGEN 1521/1 1283 09/197,441 11/23/1998 07/29/2003 G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. **EXAMINER** c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA GRANT, CHRISTOPHER C 2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ARLINGTON, VA 22202

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Christopher Crant Examiner Art Unit Examiner Examiner		Application No.	pplicant(s)	
## Examiner Christopher Grant 2511 ## From MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be invalidate under the previouser of 37 CFR 1.138(e). In or event, however, may a neity be timely filed ## If the period for may specified above, the maximum stabilities of 13 CFR 1.138(e). In or event, however, may a neity be timely filed ## If the period for may specified above, the maximum stabilities previous of 37 CFR 1.138(e). In or event, however, may a neity be timely filed ## If the period for may specified above, the maximum stabilities previous of 37 CFR 1.138(e). In or event, however, may a neity be timely filed ## If the period for may specified above, the maximum stabilities previous of 37 CFR 1.138(e). In or event, however, may a neity be timely filed. ## If the period for may specified above, the maximum stabilities previous of 13 CFR 1.138(e). In or event, however, may a neity the filed the maximum stabilities of 13 CFR 1.138(e). In order the maximum stabilities of 13 CFR 1.138(e). In order time stabilities of 13 CFR 1.138(e). In order time stabilities of 13 CFR 1.138(e). In order time stabilities of 13 CFR 1.138(e). In order 13 CFR 1.		,		
Christopher Grant 2511 — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. He had been stored to the mailing date of this communication. He had period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) stays, a reply within the statutory entirement of 150%) stays will be considered timely. He had period for reply specified shows the instrumentations. He had period for reply specified shows the instrumentation period all gap will will apply with fine more anality date of this communication. He had period to reply specified shows the instrumentation period all gap will will apply instrument of 150% stays will be considered timely. He had been shown that the period of the period of the period of the period of the shown that the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared patient than disciplination. Status Status Status Status	Office Action Summary			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editations of our may be available under the provisions of 3 CZR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied Ethic by the control of the providence of the set and hithy (30) alays, a reply with the saturation of thinty (30) alays will be considered emely. If the period for reply a specified above its less than thinty (30) alays, a reply with the saturation of thinty (30) alays will be considered emely. If the period for reply appendix down, be maximum statutory period will again at the communication of the period of the communication. If the period for reply appendix down, be maximum statutory period will again at this communication and ANTONIED (30 U.S.C. § 133). If the period for reply appendix the section of the period of the period of the communication of the communication. If the period for reply appendix the section of the period of the communication of the communication of the communication. If the period is FINAL. If this period is FINAL. If this action is final is condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queryle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Is possition of Claims If application of Claims If a period is accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queryle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Is claim(s) 18-35 is/are rejected. If Claim(s) 18-35 is/are rejected. If Claim(s) 18-35 is/are rejected to. If Claim(s) 18-35 is/are rejected to. If Claim(s) 18-35 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. Application Papers If the proposed drawing correction flied on is/are: a) accepted or by objected to by the Ex				
Period for Reply A SHORTENDE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire state (1) (3) days will be considered simely. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire state (1) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•	L	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CR 1.13(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after 50x (6) MCMTIST from the mailing date of this communication. It NO pend for reply is specified to the transmission of 37 CR 1.13(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after 50x (6) MCMTIST from the mailing date of this communication. It NO pend for reply is specified above, the maximus studuroy period will be specified to become ABANDONED (83 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply secoled by the official extrem tense morning above the designed so the communication, even if timely flied, may reduce any second plant from adjustment. See 57 CFA 1.704(s). Status 1)② Responsive to communication(s) filled on 14 July 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)② This action is FINAL. 2b)② This action is finat. 2b)② This action is finat. 2b)② This action is finat. 4)② Claim(s) 18-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a)② Of the above claim(s) is a contributed from the provided from the provid	• •		,	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 18-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 18-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 			
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 18-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	<u>uly 2003</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 18-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 18-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 50 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims 4)				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-35</u> is/are pending in the application.			
6) Claim(s) 18-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
7] Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-35</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	··· <u> </u>			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	\cdot			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<i>,</i>			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	<u>·</u>			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, , ,			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)			
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal		

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 18-19, 22, 25-27 and 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Van Ryzin (6,131,130) and Phan et al. (Phan) (6,064,437) (of record).

Considering claims 18 and 35, Van Ryzin discloses a remote display device (10,8; figure 2) for remote interaction by a user with a main computer (2,14) being in communication with a main transmitter (20, 20a; figure 3) and a main receiver (28,28a), the main computer featuring a local video card (22) and the main computer featuring a local input port for receiving input instructions, the device comprising:

- a) a computer monitor (10) for receiving display signals directly from the local video card (22) through the main transmitter (20, 20a), the computer monitor inherently having a remote receiver (connected to the antenna) for receiving the display signals wirelessly; and
- b) a remote input platform (8) for receiving input data from the user and for transmitting the input data to the main computer (10) through the main receiver (28,28a), the remote input platform featuring a remote transmitter for transmitting the input data to the main receiver;

such that the device (8,10) lacks a CPU* (as defined by Applicant) and such that only the main computer has a CPU and wherein the main computer, the computer monitor and the

Art Unit: 2611

remote input platform in combination form a computer and wherein the computer monitor (10) and the remote input platform (8) are physically separable from the main computer.

*The term CPU is defined in Applicant's specification at page 5 (last paragraph) as follows:

"Hereinaster, the term CPU includes those portions of the computer which control the remainder of the computer, including those peripherals. As defined herein, the CPU includes the control unit and the arithmetic and logic unit (ALU), as well as other components such as memory and temporary buffers which are required for the operation of the control unit and the ALU. Other types of microprocessors or data processors are specifically excluded from the term CPU as herein defined".

Van Ryzin discloses that the remote input platform (8) comprises a CPU (8c) in figure 8. CPU (8c) processes keystrokes by coding them into ASCII for transmission to the main computer for decoding and/or translation (col. 6, lines 29-36). Van Ryzin's CPU (8c) does not control the remainder of the computer or other peripherals. CPU (8c) does not include a control unit or an ALU, or other components such as a memory and temporary buffers which are required for the operation of the control unit and the ALU. CPU (8c) falls into the category of "other types of microprocessors or data processors" that are specifically excluded from the term CPU (as defined by applicant).

However, Van Ryzin fails to specifically disclose that the main computer features a local video card for compressing a display signal, wherein the display signal comprising at least video data and a monitor for receiving a compressed display signal and decompressing the compressed display signal for displaying video data after decompression as recited in the claim.

Art Unit: 2611

Phan discloses a main computer (14) comprising a video card (22) (figure 2A) for compressing a display signal, the display signal comprising at least video data and a wireless remote display device (16,18) for receiving a compressed display signal and decompressing (28, video expander) (figure 2B) the compressed display signal for displaying video data after decompression for the advantage of easing bandwidth requirements on the wireless communication link. See col. 3, lines 54-57 and column 4, line 17- col. 5, line 22.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify van Ryzin's system to include the main computer featuring a local video card for compressing a display signal, the display signal comprising at least video data and a display device such as a monitor for receiving a compressed display signal and decompressing the compressed display signal for displaying video data after decompression, as taught by Phan, for the advantage of easing bandwidth requirements on the wireless communication link.

Claims 19 and 22 are met by the combined systems of Van Ryzin and Phan, wherein Van Ryzin discloses RF transmission between the device (8,10) (comprising remote receiver) and the computer (2,14) (comprising main receiver) in col. 4, lines 8-54.

Claim 25 is met by the combined systems of Van Ryzin and Phan, wherein Phan discloses a video expander (28) (figure 2B) for receiving the display signals from the remote receiver and for expanding the display signals to produce expanded signals.

Art Unit: 2611

Considering claim 26, Van Ryzin and Phan fail to specifically disclose an audio amplifier or amplifying audio signals from the remote receiver as recited in the claim.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it is notoriously well known in the art to have an audio amplifier and speaker associated with a computer monitor system for the advantage of providing audio output from audio-video or multimedia programs.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined systems of Van Ryzin and Phan (if necessary) to include an audio amplifier and a speaker for the typical advantage of providing audio output from audio-video or multimedia programs.

Claim 27 is met by the combined systems of Van Ryzin and Phan, wherein Van Ryzin discloses remote input platform (8) that comprises a keyboard and touch pad.

Claim 30 is met by the combined systems of Van Ryzin and Phan, wherein Van Ryzin discloses port (26) and/or bus (16) that receive data transmitted directly to the main computer.

Considering claim 31, Van Ryzin discloses a system for remote interaction with a user comprising:

- a) a main computer (2,14) the main computer featuring a CPU, the main computer comprising
 - (i) a main transmitter (20,20a) for transmitting radiowaves (col. 4, lines 8-54);
- (ii) a plurality of video cards (VGA card 22, TV tuner card (24), DVD card, CD card, additional tuner card etc. all connected to switch (18b) described at columns 4-5 and throughout the entire reference);

Art Unit: 2611

- (iii) an operating system (col. 4, lines 1-7, col. 5, lines 54-67);
- b) a computer monitor (10) for display signals from video card (22) through the main transmitter (20,20a) and featuring a remote radiowave receiver (connected to the antenna of 10), the computer monitor (10) lacking a CPU; and
- c) a remote input platform (8) for receiving input data from the user and for transmitting the input data to the main computer (10) through the main receiver (28,28a), the remote input platform featuring a remote radiowave transmitter for transmitting the input data to the main receiver, the remote input platform lacking a CPU* (as defined by Applicant).

*The term CPU is defined in Applicant's specification at page 5 (last paragraph) as follows:

"Hereinafter, the term CPU includes those portions of the computer which control the remainder of the computer, including those peripherals. As defined herein, the CPU includes the control unit and the arithmetic and logic unit (ALU), as well as other components such as memory and temporary buffers which are required for the operation of the control unit and the ALU. Other types of microprocessors or data processors are specifically excluded from the term CPU as herein defined".

Van Ryzin discloses that the remote input platform (8) comprises a CPU (8c) in figure 8. CPU (8c) processes keystrokes by coding them into ASCII for transmission to the main computer for decoding and/or translation (col. 6, lines 29-36). Van Ryzin's CPU (8c) <u>does not</u> control the remainder of the computer or other peripherals. CPU (8c) <u>does not</u> include a control unit or an ALU, or other components such as a memory and temporary buffers which are required for the operation of the control unit and the ALU. CPU (8c) falls into the category of "other types of

Art Unit: 2611

microprocessors or data processors" that are specifically excluded from the term CPU (as defined by applicant).

However, Van Ryzin fails to specifically disclose that the main computer includes at least a second video card for compressing a display signal and a monitor for receiving a compressed display signal and decompressing the compressed display signal for displaying video data obtained after decompression as recited in the claim.

Phan discloses a main computer (14) comprising plural video cards including a video card (22) (figure 2A) for compressing a display signal, the display signal comprising at least video data and a wireless remote display device (16,18) for receiving a compressed display signal and decompressing (28) (figure 2B) the compressed display signal for displaying video data after decompression for the advantage of easing bandwidth requirements on the wireless communication link. See col. 3, lines 54-57 and column 4, line 17- col. 5, line 22.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify van Ryzin's system to include the main computer to comprise at least a second video card for compressing a display signal and display device such as a monitor for receiving a compressed display signal and decompressing the compressed display signal for displaying video data obtained after decompression, as taught by Phan, for the advantage of easing bandwidth requirements on the wireless communication link.

Claim 32 is met by the combined systems of Van Ryzin and Phan, wherein Van Ryzin discloses local input device (4) having an input device port on PC board (14) and switch (see the

Art Unit: 2611

entire reference including but not limited to col. 3, line 3, line 63 – col. 4, line 7 and col. 4, line 30- col. 5, line 28).

Claim 33 is met by the combined systems of Van Ryzin and Phan, wherein Van Ryzin discloses main radiowave receiver (28, 28a) (figure 3).

Claim 34 is met by the combined systems of Van Ryzin and Phan, wherein Van Ryzin discloses the switching between the local input and remote input throughout the entire reference including but not limited to col. 3, line 3, line 63 – col. 4, line 7 and col. 4, line 30- col. 5, line 28.

3. Claims 20-21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Ryzin and Phan as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Yen (of record).

Considering claims 20 and 23, Van Ryzin and Phan fail to specifically disclose radiowaves in a range of from about 2.4 GHz to about 5.8 GHz as recited in the claims.

In a strikingly similar system Yen teaches that remote computer displays should use a band around 2.4 GHz. In addition this band is considered to be and ISM band SP².

It would have been obvious to modify the combined systems of Van Ryzin and Phan, to include the frequency band of 2.4GHz to about 5.8 GHz, as taught by Yen, for the typical advantage of conforming to known practices and FCC regulations.

Claims 21 and 24 are met by the combined systems of Van Ryzin, Phan and Yen, wherein the 2.4GHz band (taught by Yen) is considered to be an ISM band SP².

Art Unit: 2611

4. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Ryzin and Phan as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Hare et al. (Hare) (6,084,638) (of record).

Considering claims 28 and 29, Van Ryzin and Phan fail to specifically disclose the remote input platform comprises a microphone and joystick port as recited in the claims.

In a strikingly similar system, Hare teaches the use of plural input devices (27a-d) and ports including a microphone, joystick and joystick port for the advantage of facilitating the user with various input devices to make selections (including voice commands). See the entire reference including but not limited to col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 20.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined systems of Van Ryzin and Phan to include microphone and joystick port, as taught by Hare for the advantage of facilitating the user with a system that is responsive to voice and/or joystick commands.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's failure to adequately traverse the Examiner's taking of Official Notice in the last Office Action is taken as an admission of the facts noticed.

Application/Control Number: 09/197,441 Page 10

Art Unit: 2611

Affidavit

7. The Affidavit under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 5/6/2003 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 18-35 based upon Van Ryzin and Phan as set forth in the current Office action because:

(a) It generally states that Van Ryzin's invention is a system that converges a personal computer with various audio video devices and that the instant invention is a single computer divided into interlocking platforms that when combined forms a single computer (Affidavit at pages 2-3 and the amendment filed via fax 5/6/2003 on pages 5-11 and entered as per RCE filed 7/14/2003).

(Response) First note that claims 18, 31 and 35 generally recite that the main computer and the monitor are physically separable, and that the main computer and the monitor in combination form a computer.

Secondly, the Examiner posits that Van Ryzin's main computer (14) and remote monitor (10) are physically separate, and that the main computer (14) and monitor (10) form a computer system. Applicant is reminded that the claims do not preclude a converged computer system.

Thirdly, claim 26 recites that the computer monitor comprises an audio amplifier and speaker. According to the Affidavit, the instant invention is a computer and/or computer monitor that do not include, integrate or converge with other components or devices. Clearly claim 26 calls for an integrated computer and/or integrated computer monitor. The Examiner posits that Van Ryzin's computer and/or computer monitor is an integrated system as much as applicant's computer and/or computer monitor is an integrated or convergence system;

Art Unit: 2611

(b) It states that Van Ryzin's invention is a personal computer connected to a video monitor that is acting as a television and that "A television would actually require further adaptation in order to be able to act as a monitor for the personal computer because monitors and televisions have different requirements in terms of the signal received and the processing of that signal" on page 2 (last paragraph to page 3, line 2).

(Response) First, the claims simply call for a computer monitor.

Secondly, the Affidavit is correct that Van Ryzin describes a wireless video monitor (10) and a personal computer (14) (figure 1). Van Ryzin at col. 6, lines 8-12 describe that commands entered by a user via keyboard/mouse device (8) are transmitted to the wireless video monitor 10 for displaying the user's commands. Since monitor (10) is operable connected to computer (14) and displays commands entered via a keyboard, the Examiner posits that monitor (10) is a computer monitor and the Affidavit is not persuasive.

Thirdly, TV tuner cards are notoriously well known devices that are inserted in a personal computer and more importantly the claims do not recite that the monitor precludes receiving television signals. Therefore, the Examiner posits that monitor (10) is a computer monitor and the Affidavit is not persuasive;

(c) It states that the claimed subject matter solved a problem that was long standing in the art (Affidavit at page 3, lines 13-16 and page 4, lines 17-20). However, there is no showing that others of ordinary skill in the art were working on the problem and if so, for how long. In addition, there is no evidence that if persons skilled in the art who were presumably working on



Art Unit: 2611

the problem knew of the teachings of the above cited references, they would still be unable to solve the problem. See MPEP § 716.04; and

(d) It states that the clamed subject matter features compression.

In response, the Examiner has provided the Phan reference to support the newly claimed limitations. Therefore, the Affidavit is not persuasive.

Conclusion

8. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231
on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:

Certificate of Transmission

Art Unit: 2611

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile tra Office, Fax No. (703) on (Date)	insmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
S:	

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Grant whose telephone number is (703) 305 4755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872 9314 for regular communications and (703) 872 9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Christopher Grant Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611

CG July 26, 2003